Mr. Albert R. Baren, Assistant Director, HAVR

Richard B. Scott, AD/DP

Further Studies in the Shamalan Valley

Reference: Memo April 3, 1971 Comments on Programs

1. Between 14 April and 19 April 1971 more villagers (about 15) of the Shamalan Valley were interviewed in an attempt to get a more complete picture of their attitudes, and reasons for their attitudes, toward the Shamalan project than that reported earlier. While the general views stated in the previous report were supported by these more recent contacts, greater variation in answers began to appear with the wider choice of sample interviewed. Most of the prosent sample were contacted while accompanying an artificial incomination team on a regularly scheduled route through the project area. The sample was mainly composed of those men who had cows in heat on a particular day, who had knowledge of the service offered, and a willingness to use it.

posides the previous questions about the villagers' knowledge of and attitude toward the project, more questions were aimed at getting some detailed information on the indigenous power relations in the area as they effect or could effect the workings of the project. A clear state—ment of these relations will be necessary for each area developed if there is to be hope of coping with the numerous problems that will no doubt arise, including the most basic problem of water management. As in the previous report, the emphasis here is on knowing and understanding the socio-political context within which the project must function. Without such information and some system to maintain a flow of supplementary information as the project develops, we will likely face some rather unpleasant surprises.

2. General Comments: While considering the details of this report, we must keep in mind at least three influences on villagers attitudes. First, the villagers seem to have a general distrust, and lack of confidence in government officials and their projects. This would include any and all projects in which AID may be involved. Much of this skepticism seems to be based on past contacts and experiences, within the context of how the

villagers define events. For example, one landowner argued that the up-hill placement of the new canal would lead to major drainage, salting and water-legging problems experienced by areas presently on the down-hill side of the old canal. And several men indicated that with the re-arrange-ments of fields, re-surveying, etc. they were sure to less land for a variety of reasons. Some because, as previously noted, they were using government land illegally. This not only includes the Khang but also some small farmers, owning no land, who would be classed as equatters. Others noted that the larger number of new ditches would cut into farm land. Others were less specific, since they were dealing with the unknown, but they had the feeling they might less part or all of their land.

Second, the area to be developed is presently an area being, in village terms, successfully and prefitably farmed. According to both the 1965 and the recent farm economic surveys, Shamalan Valley is one of the most productive areas in the region. Although technically the Shamalan Valley may be sub-standard, it is going to be difficult to convince villagers, who see their farming activities as successful, that they should give up a growing season and become involved in the unknown.

Third, the project will be functioning in an area with a relatively stable indigenous secto-political system apparently primarily based on relationships between large landowners and various sects of tenant farmers, discussed below. At least some of the villagers see this project as in some way discruptive to this indigenous system. Cortainly, if the project is to be technically successful, some parts of the system must change, i.e., water management. We asset expect blocks by the vested interest groups, i.e., <u>Thens</u>. We might also expect that some of these blocks will manifest themselves in less direct ways, via share-exoppers, <u>mullaha</u>, etc. In any case, the shamalan Valley project is not iffsely to proceed without interruptions and other difficulties. Detailed and continuous knowledge of each development block will aid in predicting problems before they occur and aid in settling disputes afterward.

3. Information: To this time no villager has been found who has had any direct contact with the government efficials about the outline of the project. That is, little if any public relations activities have been carried out on the part of the government except for possibly the early initial contacts by the Governor with a few large Khans of the upper end of the Valley (see my provious report). Besides this, there have been several groups of Khans to come to the city to discuss the project with the Governor is recent menths but these mostings were primisely in the form of stating objections to beginning the work; this is according to the adult sen of one such Khan.

And apparently the Alghan survey crows have instructions not to discuss their activities with villagers.

One reason for this precedure of non-ealightenment might stem from the traditional middle-eastern bureauscratic view that villagers opinions are unimportant because they are too ignorant to understand what is good for them in the first place. Only the power figures in an area need be consulted; thus the mosting with the Khans. A second reason could be that as long as no one knows saything and no one begins the actual socially disruptive activities of land consolidation and leveling, peace can be maintained. This last can only last so long, until the project does get into the field.

The objection to the suggestion made by Mr. Shankian that some sort of large scale public information campaign abould be started, possibly in the form of a valley-wide information meeting or fair was interesting. The idea being that in some control place with the help of visual aids and informed tochnical and political staff the project could be adequately explained and all questions accurately answered. The counter proposal was that when the time comes the agriculture agents would be told to inform the villagors. Again, in middle eastern terms, this amounts to the present stinction, that is, limited if not inaccurate explanations to those villagers the agent feels need to know. In at least one case, of a nea-Pashio speaking agent who did not know of the heef and mouth outbreak in his district treflecting his levels of contact), to depend only on the agriculture agent would be a mistake. It is not likely that there are any agriculture agents canchle of giving a full explanation of the details of the project in reference to land consolidation and the other mere complicated aspects of the plan. The quality and content of the information must be homogenious across the valley as villagors have a tendency and the occasion to cross-check their information.

Some sext of a district meeting seems called for if we expect the bulk of the population to know what is happening. One disadvantage of this sext of meeting is that any organised or spectrations group heatility might get public expression.

The lack of information is reflected in the wide variety of rumers in the area about the project. The most prevelent being found among the large number of share-propers interviewed. In contrast to the respenses reported three weeks earlier, there was much support for the project among this group but peacibly for the wrong reason. The numer was that landless farmers were to be given land by the project under a free redistribution system. If the Khene believe this, we are in for some strong opposition. The general

peacent philosophy of the theory of the "Limited good" being at work; that is, there is only so much "good" in the world (in this case land) and one persons gain coours only at the expense of someone else (Foster). In other parts of the middle-cast, however, such rumors have been appeared by government simply to reduce the opposition or gain support at a particular time. It is uncertain as to the source of the rumor or how long it has been present. It was present over nearly the fall length of the valley, if our limited sample is any indication, and the villagers interviewed three weeks earlier did not make such responses.

The traditional pattern of communication between government and villagers in the Islamic world has been of a limited nature and similar to the present pattern, contact with the power figures or their representative. But also this contact has primarily involved three activities; collection of taxes, conscription of soldiers and maintenance of order. Barely has it involved a realistic cooperative effort to improve the let of the villagers. Cooperation cannot be expected from villagers who are uninformed.

A second point on the problems of communication is that we tend to assume that the local bureausracy is fully aware of what is going on at the village level. I have no way at present to evaluate this assumption but if it is true, it will be one of the rare exceptions to the rule of middle-eastern-planute outural area bureausratic ignerance of village level affairs. This is not to condemn this enforced ignerance which is functional in the centent of central, national governments that insist on passing frequently irrelevant and unenforceable laws at the village level. But again this ignerance becomes disfunctional in the more recent context of development projects.

If the hypothesis is accepted that complete information must be disseminated to the villagers via a large scale public relations activity of some sort, insuring that all the people receive accurate and consistent information, the following list of items is a partial coverage of the kinds of quantions to be answered.

A. Land consolidation must be explained in full, the principles and reasons for it. If the highly fragmented land holdings, separated by rather great distances, are to be consolidated into one single unit, a system must be devised of consolidating parcels located in areas to be developed at different times. How this is to be done must be clearly explained.

Land consolidation will limit the mobility of some villages or hamlets.

(ree previous report.) The greater plan might attempt to eliminate this mobility all tegether since, in time, it is likely to affect the work of land leveling. The hullding and periodic destruction of mud-but villages requires the displacement of relatively large amounts of soil.

Since government land is apparently available in most of the development blocks, it may be useful to examine the possibility of establishing the villages on government land (the settlement of houses) rather than on the privately owned land as at present. This could be more efficiently sombined with the school program, and necessarily with a more active public health and somination scheme. For example, some system of relatively pure drinking water might be devised, via wells, in stable villages to replace the use of water from the ditches. The problem, then, would become how to get the people to change and, secondly, how to keep the wells uncestaminated.

B. Displaced farmers, of various serie, must know in detail what will happen to them while the land is being leveled. The pian apparently includes paying farmers for land out of production. But this is too simple of a statement to satisfy all the farmers. Each development section will have to be emaximed by the planners and technicians to be able to tell the farmers of that place what will happen to their fields, becaus, etc. Will this group of trees, erchard and vineyard be leveled or not? Generalized statements can easily satisfy planners but each farmer wants to know if his vineyard is to be leveled. This suggests that the public relations scheme should be first general but very quickly become specific to a particular area. An individual may be helped to cope with had now; the unknown is a completely different problem.

If the area were an absolutely homogeneous area relative to erops, the problems would be relatively simple. But we are dealing with a certain level of subsistance agriculture which produces a surplus. This may seem a contradiction of terms unless we keep in mind that the surplus produced by many farmers (various grades of share-eroppers) cannot be kept for themselves. In any case, as noted proviously, small land owners not only plant wheat but pulsus, melous, alfalfa, etc. They grow wheat for consumption by the household and to pay debts. They grow alfalfa as a forage crop for their milk and work cattle. In two hamlets of Aynak, at least, landlerds let share-eroppers use land for forage crops for aximals. What explanation is to be given to farmers whose forage crops are going to be out of production for at least a year? Will they be paid for the lack of forage crop or provided hay from other regions? We might expect the price of key to go up as development areas go out of production.

In terms of physical displacement, where are the farmers to be moved as areas are developed. Again rumer has it that they may be given land in Marja, either permanently or temperarily. Others say they simply will have to move. The concept of a government or community owned village site could help reduce this problem.

The last question under this heading relates to who will be paid for land out of quitivation? Although there seems limited data on emotify who owns the land, the impression is that the mency for land out of production will be paid to the relatively few giggs (relative to the larger numbers of share-croppers). In probing this queetien, the share-cropper respondents had no illustons about what would happen. When they were not producing a crop to share, they would receive nothing from the landlerd, except in the form of lease which traditionally have despended the dependency on and therefore the power of the patron (gigg). Some said they would leave the area to find land to farm elsewhere. In planning the social implications of such projects, these series of results must be weighed carefully, hefere the project is on the ground. The question to answer here is, would it be feasible to pay the actual owner only part of the values of the last crop and the rest to go to the one who works the land? The peacibilities of the complexity of such a program are staggering.

A more far reaching and more speculative result can be predicted to be in the mill for the future; the displacement of the large number of share-croppers in the area. The credit project is seeing results in financing the sale of tractors in the valley. Presently the numbers are small but the enthusiasm for the machines is great. Land-lords with tenent farmers are about the only once shie to most the qualifications for the louns; a quantity of land larger than a family with work animals can bring under the plow alone. Land equalification which will result from the development scheme will maximise conditions for the displacement of tenent farmers; that is, a landlord quantity with a tractory with his land in one block rather than fragmented as at the present time. The landlerd will have little need for the numbers of share-croppers he now supports. Economically, he will be simply reducing his costs.

This list of questions that the public relations activity amot be aimed at is only partial. The suggestions on how they should be answered is only tentative. There are always technical, legal and financial limitations of what can be done. Although it is late in the game, knowledgeable representatives of these and others involved disciplines about most to threah out what answeres can be given to these questions. Apparently the plan for these aspects of the Shamalan Valley development was "to play it by ear." While maximum finalities is a pre-requisite, given the variation we can expect

as we move down the valley, a basic structure of action must be established, or the villagers will never let the technical plan get off the drawing board.

The newer structure at the vilinge level must be analyzed in each of the districts to be developed. The statements made here will necessarily be general and rather superficial. Variation was found from one section of the valley to the other. Thus, the sorts of difficulties the project could face will vary accordingly. The problem considered here is the relationshins between the three rules that seem key in understanding the power structure and in particular how it affects the irrigation development scheme: (1) THE LOCAL Ehens or landowners-pathons, (b) the Mirahs or water controllers who distribute the water to the farmers, (3) the Maltis or the village representative to the government. One of the present instifications for the Shamalan Valley project is that one end of the valley is being adequately, if technically oradely, irrigated, while the other end is faced with vator shortages. My estimation of the situation, spelled out in more detail below, is that unless the power structure as it relates to water distribution is not altered, the results of the technically more efficient scheme will be sulfiled. Water distribution must be taken out of the heads of the local power structure and placed under the control of neutral. uninfluential government civil corvente; a possibly unrealistic demand at the propert time.

Emospt for outer fringe areas and sections of immigrant groups, the dominant patter of land ownership in the ghamalan Valley appears to be that of Khang owning from 100 to 400 jirihs of land with hamlets, located on their land, comericed of various sorts of share-erospers and farm laborers (nee my earlier report). The actual distribution of the serie of owners, and detailed definitions of the sorts of share-erospors and laborers can be found in the forthcoming farm economic survey by Dr. G. E. Owens of the Wyoming Group, whose findings are based on scientific sampling precedures. A wealthier than tone with more land, may have more than one hamlet under his patroners. In at least one case, in the Bolan district. tuper Shamalan the Ehen lived outside the project aren. The size of his holdings were unknown. The men central the basis of production, the land, and so have considerable political power. That is, they can demand the support of these who are dependent, share-eropuers, laborers and attached relatives. The Khan is not only the source of the means of production but may not as a lender for those households facing various economic erials or a shortage of wheat during the year,

The Malik is the viliagers representative vis-a-vis the government in all efficial business, therefore, in theory he has a potentially great amount of power. He may or may not occ that the farmer's interests are best served. He gets paid, apparently, by the individuals he acts for. In regions where the population is ideated in concentrated settlements, he would be a village headman but in this more fragmented settlement pattern. he may represent a district or area of the valley. His representation may be more highly fragmented, as stated by two Khans in central Aynak, by being tribal rather than strictly area representative. Further, there are individuals who are referred to as Maliks who represent social or economic groups (as share-croppore) rather than the proviously sited geographical and political groupings. It is unknown as to how mean of these types of Malike are government recognized and how many are unofficial spokesmen and "lobbles" in the government offices in Lash. In theory the Malik is cheen or "elected" by the group he represents but in practice he is apparently chosen by the dominant Ehen or Khans of a particular area. The examples found were said to be small landholders but under the demination of the local Khans. In a few cases, the Khans themselves were the <u>Malike</u>. The point being that a second source of power and influence (government) is not uncommonly combined in the hands of the Khans.

The final role, which also dovetails into this structure is the Mirab, the man who sees to the distribution of water to the farmers' fields. The examples found of this role were of two sorts, small landowners appointed or chosen by the local Kham or Khans, and the Khan himself holding the position. This is not to say that the Mirab himself does the work, he has remained do the cottail water distribution but he gets paid by each farmer (according to the locals) and his area of control may or may not coincide with the other spheres of influence. One Ehan, or his representative, may act as Mirab for another Khan; and if the hostility velced by a Khan of central Aynak against his Mirab is any indication, there is opportunity for disagreement over water. But, again, we have the potential, or the likelihood, that some Khans influence and power is increased by his central of water in this irrigated area. With this overiap of spheres of potential power, a Khan would not only be able to demand the support of those directly under his patronage as laborers and shareexoppore but also other small farmers that are dependent upon him, or his representative, for representation vis-a-vis the government. The Khan might also be in a position to see that a small farmer could be left unter short.

A question we must easwer when evaluating the present water attention in Shamalan is how much of the water shortage is based on political relations (reinitions between groups) and how much is based on a true lack of water. Beginning in central Ayank we found villagers asying that they were water short but farther down the valley, beyond Priday Market, a fringe of immigrant, small landowner, farmers indicated they had no abortage. The reason for this difference is unknown but water shortage is apparently not simply a function becation from the originating source.

A example of the sorts of ging influence possible was found on a small (2-5 acres) form being operated on government land which the former himself had leveled and improved, apparently ever a number of years. He end that while he was an independent former operating on government land illegally, he was required to pay a proportion of his erop to two different glage who had land around him. Given the structure described above, he has very little choice.

In some of the increase minds the development project to associated with central of the unter state small increase did indicate that they supported the project because it would mean they would then get enough unter, and that the the reasons the lingual wave against it was that they presently get at least their share of the unter, and they would they want to change. An approximate quote coming via an interpreter from one such amail increase was, "If the project depends on the Chang, it will never go through because the ones presently getting the unter will never let it happen."

An independent farmer of lower Aynak with 50 jirths of land made a similar statement of the situation saying he was short of water because of the Higgs over use. He supported the project because he assumed it would include water central.

We might conclude from this, as in the opening statements, that strict under control must be a part of the total scheme and cortainly part of the public relations activity. Water control does not mean that the <u>Thong</u> will be left with inedequate water but that everyone gets his share, which will be adoquate. It would mean, however, that water would stop being a tool of political influence.

Many of the statuments in this report are in the form of hypothesis. More systematic study acode to be done, particularly fecuning on the structure of the areas being developed. Very little is mentioned about tribal arrangements since such information is not easily nor quickly come by. It may in fact be pointless to attempt to discuss the above inter-relationships outside the centest of the tribus. How the religious structure ties in with the politice-economic structure is also an unknown, that is, the ties between the Mallahe and the Mans. My grees would be that the Mans influence the Mallahe in much the same way as they do the others.

To close, some of my information on the status of the project is out of date. My main interest is to stress the imperiance of understanding the structure, in detail, with which we must work. At the same time, the people must know what we plan to do and he willing to enter into a dialog over the plan. Thus our plan must be technically sound and able, through prior planning or floubtility, to most the immediate as well as the more distant needs of the population. The project will be fund with numerous difficulties cince it certainly involves changing if not reducing some individual's positions of power and influence, and possibly level of wealth in the immediate future. We must be in a position to understand the basis of the difficulties to be able to find solutions.

oe; D/DD AB/DP WYO;GOwens